

## Proving the Triangle Congruence Theorems

### Sentence Frames for Proofs

#### Transformations:

- Translate \_\_\_\_\_ from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.
- Rotate \_\_\_\_\_ using \_\_\_\_\_ as the center by angle \_\_\_\_\_.
- Rotate \_\_\_\_\_ using \_\_\_\_\_ as the center so that \_\_\_\_\_ coincides with \_\_\_\_\_.
- Reflect \_\_\_\_\_ across \_\_\_\_\_.
- Reflect \_\_\_\_\_ across the perpendicular bisector of \_\_\_\_\_.
- Segments \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ are the same length so they are congruent. Therefore, there is a rigid motion that takes \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_. Apply that rigid motion to \_\_\_\_\_.

#### Justifications:

- We know the image of \_\_\_\_\_ is congruent to \_\_\_\_\_ because rigid motions preserve measure.
- Points \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ coincide after translating because we defined our translation that way!
- Since points \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ are the same distance along the same ray from \_\_\_\_\_ they have to be in the same place.
- Rays \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ coincide after rotating because we defined our rotation that way!
- The image of \_\_\_\_\_ must be on ray \_\_\_\_\_ since both \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ are on the same side of \_\_\_\_\_ and make the same angle with it at \_\_\_\_\_.
- Points \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ coincide because they are both at the intersection of the same lines, and 2 distinct lines can only intersect in 1 place.
- \_\_\_\_\_ is the perpendicular bisector of the segment connecting \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_, because the perpendicular bisector is determined by 2 points that are both equidistant from the endpoints of a segment.

#### Conclusion statement:

- We have shown that a rigid motion takes \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_, and \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_, therefore triangle \_\_\_\_\_ is congruent to triangle \_\_\_\_\_.

What Do We Know For Sure About Isosceles Triangles?

**Kiran**

**Kiran:** I'm stumped on this proof.

Mai: What are you trying to prove?

**Kiran:** I'm trying to prove that in an isosceles triangle, the two base angles are congruent. So in this case, that angle  $A$  is congruent to angle  $B$ .

Mai: Let's think of what geometry ideas we already know are true.

**Kiran:** We know if two pairs of corresponding sides, and the corresponding angles between the sides, are congruent, then the triangles must be congruent.

Mai: Yes, and we also know that we can use reflections, rotations, and translations to prove congruence and symmetry. . . The isosceles triangle you've drawn makes me think of symmetry. If you draw a line down the middle of it, I wonder if that could help us prove that the angles are the same?

[Mai draws the line of symmetry of the triangle and labels the intersection of  $AB$  and the line of symmetry  $Q$ ].

**Kiran:** Wait, when you draw the line, it breaks the triangle into two smaller triangles. I wonder if I could prove those triangles are congruent using Side-Angle-Side Congruence?

Mai: It's an isosceles triangle, so we know that one pair of corresponding sides is congruent. [Mai marks the congruent sides.]

**Kiran:** And this segment in the middle here is part of both triangles, so it has to be the same length for both. Look.

[**Kiran draws** the two halves of the isosceles triangle and marks the shared sides as congruent.]

Mai: So we have two pairs of corresponding sides that are congruent. How do we know the angles between them are congruent?

**Kiran:** I'm not sure. Maybe it has to do with how we drew that line of symmetry?

What Do We Know For Sure About Isosceles Triangles?

**Mai**

**Kiran:** I'm stumped on this proof.

**Mai:** What are you trying to prove?

**Kiran:** I'm trying to prove that in an isosceles triangle, the two base angles are congruent. So in this case, that angle  $A$  is congruent to angle  $B$ .

**Mai:** Let's think of what geometry ideas we already know are true.

**Kiran:** We know if two pairs of corresponding sides, and the corresponding angles between the sides, are congruent, then the triangles must be congruent.

**Mai:** Yes, and we also know that we can use reflections, rotations, and translations to prove congruence and symmetry. . . The isosceles triangle you've drawn makes me think of symmetry. If you draw a line down the middle of it, I wonder if that could help us prove that the angles are the same?

[**Mai draws** the line of symmetry of the triangle and labels the intersection of  $AB$  and the line of symmetry  $Q$ ].

**Kiran:** Wait, when you draw the line, it breaks the triangle into two smaller triangles. I wonder if I could prove those triangles are congruent using Side-Angle-Side Congruence?

**Mai:** It's an isosceles triangle, so we know that one pair of corresponding sides is congruent.

[Mai marks the congruent sides.]

**Kiran:** And this segment in the middle here is part of both triangles, so it has to be the same length for both. Look.

[**Kiran draws** the two halves of the isosceles triangle and marks the shared sides as congruent.]

**Mai:** So we have two pairs of corresponding sides that are congruent. How do we know the angles between them are congruent?

**Kiran:** I'm not sure. Maybe it has to do with how we drew that line of symmetry?